Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/090,897	SCHEUBLE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	RAMON O. RAMIREZ	3632
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) RAMON O. RAMIREZ.	(3)	
(2) MICHAEL ZINNA.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 07 October 2003.		,
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: 1.		
Identification of prior art discussed: Whitcomb.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <i>Mr Zinna argues that the instant invention is reusable while Whitcomb's is not. The examiner disagress since Whitcomb's can be removed and used again. Mr Zinna will present an amendment reciting that the instant invention can be used on a single flat surface, while Whitcomb's can only be used in two surfaces defining a corner. The examiner will consider. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)</i>		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
	·	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an		
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sig	nature, if required